# **OpenMP loops**

Paolo Burgio paolo.burgio@unimore.it





### **Outline**

- > Expressing parallelism
  - Understanding parallel threads
- > Memory Data management
  - Data clauses
- > Synchronization
  - Barriers, locks, critical sections
- > Work partitioning
  - Loops, sections, single work, tasks...
- > Execution devices
  - Target



### What we saw so far...

#### > Threads

- How to create and properly manage a team of threads
- How to join them with barriers

### > Memory

- How to create private and shared <del>variables</del> storages
- How to properly ensure memory consistency among parallel threads

### > Data syncronization

- How to create locks to implement, e.g., mutual exclusion
- How to identify Critical Sections
- How to ensure atomicity on single statements



## Work sharing between threads

- > But..how can we split an existing workload among parallel threads?
  - Say, a loop
- > Typical \$c€nario
  - 1. Analyze sequential code from customer/boss
  - 2. Parallelize it with OpenMP (for a "generic" parallel machine)
  - 3. Tune num\_threads for specific machine
  - 4. Get money/congratulations from customer/boss
  - Might not be as easy as with PI Montecarlo!

How to do 2. without rewriting/re-engineering the code?



### **Exercise**




- > Create an array of N elements
  - Put inside each array element its index, multiplied by '2'
  - arr[0] = 0; arr[1] = 2; arr[2] = 4; ...and so on



### **Exercise**


Let's code!

- > Create an array of N elements
  - Put inside each array element its index, multiplied by '2'
  - arr[0] = 0; arr[1] = 2; arr[2] = 4; ...and so on
- > Now, do it in parallel with a team of T threads
  - N = 19, T  $\neq$  19, N > T
  - Hint: Act on the boundaries of the loop
  - Hint #2: omp get thread num(), omp get num threads()
- > Example:






## Loop partitioning among threads



- > Case #1: N multiple of T
  - Say, N = 20, T = 4
- > chunk = #iterations for each thread
- > Very simple..




$$chunk = \frac{N}{T};$$


$$i_{start} = thread_{ID} * chunk;$$
  $i_{end} = i_{start} + chunk + 1$ 



## Loop partitioning among threads



- > Case #2: N not multiple of T
  - Say, N = 19, T = 4
- > chunk = #iterations for each thread (but last)
  - Last thread has less! (chunk<sub>last</sub>)



$$chunk = \frac{N}{T} + 1$$
;  $chunk_{last} = N \% chunk$ 

$$i_{start} = thread_{ID} * chunk;$$
  $i_{end} = \begin{cases} i_{start} + chunk & if not last thread \\ i_{start} + chunk_{last} & if last thread \end{cases}$ 



### "Last thread"

> Unfortunately, we don't know which thread will be "last" in time

- > But...we don't actually care the order in which iterations are executed
  - If there are not depenencies..
  - And..we do know that

> We choose that last thread as highest number



# Let's put them together!

> Case #1(N not multiple of T)

$$chunk = \frac{N}{T}$$
  $i_{start} = thread_{ID} * chunk;$   $i_{end} = i_{start} + chunk$ 

> Case #2 (N multiple of T)

$$chunk = \frac{N}{T} + 1;$$
  $chunk_{last} = N \% chunk$ 

$$i_{start} = thread_{ID} * chunk;$$
  $i_{end} = \begin{cases} i_{start} + chunk & if not last thread \\ i_{start} + chunk_{last} & if last thread \end{cases}$ 



## Let's put them together!

Case #1(N not multiple of T)

$$chunk = \frac{N}{T}$$
  $i_{start} = thread_{ID} * chunk;$   $i_{end} = i_{start} + chunk$ 

> Case #2 (N multiple of T)

$$chunk = \frac{N}{T} + 1;$$
  $chunk_{last} = N \% chunk$ 

$$i_{start} = thread_{ID} * chunk;$$

$$i_{start} = thread_{ID} * chunk;$$
  $i_{end} = \begin{cases} i_{start} + chunk & if not last thread \\ i_{start} + chunk_{last} & if last thread \end{cases}$ 



## **Work sharing constructs**

- > A way to distribute work among parallel threads
  - In a simple, and "elegant" manner
  - Using pragmas
- > OpenMP was born for this
  - OpeMP 2.5 targets regular, loop-based parallelism

- > OpenMP 3.x targets irregular/dynamic parallelism
  - We will see it later



### The for construct

```
#pragma omp for [clause [[,] clause]...] new-line
  for-loops
Where clauses can be:
private(list)
firstprivate(list)
lastprivate(list)
linear(list[ : linear-step])
reduction (reduction-identifier : list)
schedule([modifier [, modifier]:]kind[, chunk size])
collapse(n)
ordered[(n)]
nowait.
```

- > The iterations will be executed in parallel by threads in the team
- The iterations are distributed across threads executing the parallel region to which the loop region binds
- > for-loops must have <u>Canonical loop form</u>





## **Canonical loop form**

```
for (init-expr; test-expr; incr-expr)
   structured-block
```

- > init-expr; test-expr; incr-expr not void
- > Eases programmers' life
  - More structured
  - Recommended also for "sequential programmers"
- > Preferrable to while and do.. while
  - If possible



### **Exercise**

Let's code!

- > Create an array of *N* elements
  - Put inside each array element its index, multiplied by '2'

```
- arr[0] = 0; arr[1] = 2; arr[2] = 4; ...and so on...
```

- > Now, do it in parallel with a team of *T* threads
  - Using the for construct



### **Data sharing clauses**

```
#pragma omp for [clause [[,] clause]...] new-line
  for-loops
Where clauses can be:
private(list)
firstprivate(list)
lastprivate(list)
linear(list[ : linear-step])
reduction(reduction-identifier : list)
schedule([modifier [, modifier]:]kind[, chunk size])
collapse(n)
ordered[(n)]
nowait
```

- > first/private, reduction we already know...
  - Private storage, w/ or w/o initialization
- > linear, we won't see



## The lastprivate clause


> A list item that appears in a lastprivate clause is subject to the private clause semantics

Also, the value is updated with the one from the sequentially last iteration of the associated loops




> Create a new storage for the variables, local to threads, and initialize

```
int a = 11;
                       lastprivate(a) \
#pragma omp for
                       num threads (4)
    a = \dots
```




**Process memory** 

































### **Exercise**



- > Modify the "PI Montecarlo" exercise
  - Use the for construct
- > Up to now, each threads executes its "own" loop
  - i from 0 to 2499
- > Using the for construct, they actually share the loop
  - No need to modify the boundary!!!
  - Check it with printf





### **Exercise**

Let's code!

- > Create an array of N elements
  - Put inside each array element its index, multiplied by '2'
  - arr[0] = 0; arr[1] = 2; arr[2] = 4; ...and so on...

- > Declare the array as lastprivate
  - So you can print its value after the parreg, in the sequential zone
  - Do this at home



## OpenMP 2.5

- > OpenMP provides three work-sharing constructs
  - Loops
  - Single
  - Sections



## The single construct

```
#pragma omp single [clause [[,] clause]...] new-line
    structured-block

Where clauses can be:


private(list)
firstprivate(list)
copyprivate(list)
nowait
```

- > The enclosed block is executed by only one threads in the team
- > ..and what about the other threads?



- > Each worksharing construct has an implicit barrier at its end
  - Example: a loop
  - If one thread is delayed, it prevents other threads to do useful work!!
  - Remember: barrier = consistent view of the sh memory


```
#pragma omp parallel num threads(4)
  #pragma omp for
  for (int i=0; i<N; i++)
  } // (implicit) barrier
  // USEFUL WORK!!
 // (implicit) barrier
```





- > Each worksharing construct has an implicit barrier at its end
  - Example: a loop
  - If one thread is delayed, it prevents other threads to do useful work!!
  - Remember: barrier = consistent view of the sh memory

```
#pragma omp parallel num threads(4)
  #pragma omp for
  for (int i=0; i<N; i++)
  } // (implicit) barrier
  // USEFUL WORK!!
 // (implicit) barrier
```





- > Each worksharing construct has an implicit barrier at its end
  - Example: a loop
  - If one thread is delayed, it prevents other threads to do useful work!!
  - Remember: barrier = consistent view of the sh memory

```
#pragma omp parallel num threads(4)
  #pragma omp for
  for (int i=0; i<N; i++)
  } // (implicit) barrier
  // USEFUL WORK!!
 // (implicit) barrier
```



### Nowait clause in the for construct

```
#pragma omp for [clause [[,] clause]...] new-line
  for-loops
Where clauses can be:
private(list)
firstprivate(list)
lastprivate(list)
linear(list[ : linear-step])
reduction (reduction-identifier : list)
schedule([modifier [, modifier]:]kind[, chunk size])
collapse(n)
ordered[(n)]
nowait
```


- > The nowait clause removes the barrier at the end of a worksharing (WS) construct
  - Applies to all of WS constructs
  - Does not apply to parregs!





- > Removed the barrier at the end of WS construct
  - Still, there is a barrier at the end of parreg

```
#pragma omp parallel num threads(4)
  #pragma omp for nowait
  for (int i=0; i< N; i++)
  } // no barrier
  // USEFUL WORK!!
} // (implicit) barrier
```





### The sections construct

```
#pragma omp sections [clause[ [,] clause] ... ] new-line
  [#pragma omp section new-line]
    structured-block
  [#pragma omp section new-line]
    structured-block
Where clauses can be:
private(list)
firstprivate(list)
lastprivate(list)
reduction(reduction-identifier : list)
nowait
```

- > Each section contains code that is executed by a single thread
  - A "switch" for threads
- > Clauses, we already know..
  - lastprivate items are updated by the section executing last (in time)



## **Sections vs. loops**

- > Loops implement data-parallel paradigm
  - Same work, on different data
  - Aka: <u>data decomposition</u>, <u>SIMD</u>, <u>SPMD</u>
- > Sections implement task-based paradigm
  - Different work, on the same or different data
  - Aka: <u>task decomposition</u>, MPSD, MPMD



### The master construct

#pragma omp master new-line
 structured-block

No clauses

- > The structured block is executed only by master thread
  - "Similar" to the single construct



- > It is **not** a work-sharing construct
  - There is no barrier implied!!



## **Combined parreg+ws**

- > For each WS construct, there is also a compact form
  - In this case, clauses to both constructs apply

```
#pragma omp parallel
{
    #pragma omp for
    for(int i=0; i<N; i++)
    {
        ...
    }
} // (implicit) barrier</pre>
```

```
#pragma omp parallel
#pragma omp for
for(int i=0; i<N; i++)
{
    ...
} // (implicit) barrier</pre>
```

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for(int i=0; i<N; i++)
{
    ...
} // (implicit) barrier</pre>
```



## How to run the examples



> Download the Code/ folder from the course website

- Compile
- > \$ gcc -fopenmp code.c -o code

- > Run (Unix/Linux)
- \$ ./code
- > Run (Win/Cygwin)
- \$ ./code.exe



### References



- > "Calcolo parallelo" website
  - http://hipert.unimore.it/people/paolob/pub/PhD/index.html
- > My contacts
  - paolo.burgio@unimore.it
  - <a href="http://hipert.mat.unimore.it/people/paolob/">http://hipert.mat.unimore.it/people/paolob/</a>
- > Useful links
  - http://www.openmp.org
  - <a href="http://www.google.com">http://www.google.com</a>